



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/693,219	10/20/2000	Paul Lapstun	NPA031US	7823
24011	7590 03/27/2006		EXAMINER	
	ROOK RESEARCH P	PHAM, THIERRY L		
393 DARLII BALMAIN,	NG STREET NSW 2041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTRALÍ			2625	
			DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/693,219	LAPSTUN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thierry L. Pham	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Ja</u>	nuary 2006.					
	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6,7,15-18 and 22-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6,7,15-18 and 22-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	·	_ :				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	;a.				
/.						
Attachment(s)	A) [] [(DTO 442)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

• This action is responsive to the following communication: RCE filed on 1/27/06.

• Claims 1, 6-7, 15-18, 22-30 are pending in the application.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/27/06 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 6-7, 15-18, 22-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori (US 6137590), and in view of Dymetman et al (US 6330976).

Regarding claim 18, Mori discloses a system enabling the copying of documents, the method including the steps of:

• a scanner for scanning (scanning using optical reader 28 or internally built optical reader, fig. 7, col. 6, lines 4-9) a document (document 10, fig. 7) that includes second visible markings (image data on document 10, fig. 7) not associated with digital inks, and first invisible coded data (barcode 10a using infrared and/or transparent toner, fig. 7, col. 13, lines 25-52) indicative of an identity (col. 1, lines 55-60) of the document, and forming a digital image (printing section 3, fig. 1) of the document;

Art Unit: 2624

• a detector for detecting (detecting via barcode reader 28, fig. 7, col. 5, lines 10-45) the first coded data;

- a data store including data (accessing/retrieving electronic documents stored in storage section 4, fig. 6, col. 6, lines 8-10) representing the content of the document; and
- a printer adapted for printing (printer 14, fig. 7) using a printer a copy of the document including third visible markings (col. 8, lines 55-62), and, at the same time (col. 3, lines 58-62 and col. 8, lines 55-62), printing on the printed copy, using the same printer, second invisible (col. 13, lines 25-52) coded data document includes indicative of an identity of the copy (col. 13, lines 25-52).

However, Mori further teaches visible markings are duplicated on re-printed documents and coded data are may not be duplicated (col. 4, lines 25-30 and col. 9, lines 20-32) in reprinted document, but fails to teach and/or suggest digital inks and wherein markings associated with digital ink are duplicated and visible markings not associated with digital ink are not duplicated on the printed document.

Dymetman, in the same field of endeavor for embedding coded data, teaches digital inks (pointer 502 includes pen-like instrument for writing digital ink onto a coded substrate and/or any ordinary writing ordinary writing surface, figs. 1 & 9, col. 22, lines 10-65) and wherein markings with digital ink are duplicated and visible markings not associated with digital ink are not duplicated (facsimile apparatus as taught by Dymetman for faxing only messages written with digital inks, col. 22, lines 10-65) on the printed document.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify an image forming apparatus of Mori to include an apparatus of only duplicating markings associated with digital inks (e.g. digital inks are well known in the art as admitted by the applicants) as taught by Dymetman because of a following reason: (•) modifying image forming apparatus as taught by Mori to work with addition ink (i.e. digital inks via using stylus pen as taught by Dymetman and admitted the applicants) enhancing the overall capabilities of the image forming apparatus, for example, image forming apparatus as taught by Mori not only works with visible and invisible inks, but also with digital inks; (•) by only duplicating digital inks onto a printed document help reduces/saves other inks, thereby, reducing overall costs.

Art Unit: 2624

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Mori with Dymetman to obtain the invention as specified in claim 18.

Regarding claim 22, Dymetman further teaches a sensing device operable by a user to identify said coded data printed on said copy (sensing device 502 for detecting/sensing coded data, figs. 1, 14-15, cols. 3-4 and col. 8, lines 45-67).

Regarding claim 23, Dymetman further teaches the sensing device including a marking nib (marking tip 505, fig. 11).

Regarding claim 24, Dymetman further teaches the sensing device including an identification means (network address of the sensing device, col. 9, lines 16-45), which imparts a unique identity to the sensing device, the system able to associate the identifier for the copy with the identity of the sensing device.

Regarding claims 25-26, the printer including a binder for binding pages of a multi-page copy and wherein scanner and the printer being provided as parts of a single apparatus (multifunctional copy machine including scanning, faxing, printing, and stapling functions are known in the art).

Regarding claim 27, Mori further discloses a system according to claim 18, the scanner and the detector being provided as parts of a single apparatus (col. 6, lines 5-43).

Regarding claim 28, Mori further discloses a system according to claim 27, the scanner comprising a moving linear image sensor device (col. 5, lines 10-45), the detector carried by this device.

Regarding claim 29, Dymetman further teaches the sensing device adapted to communicate with a base station (fig. 2 as per teaching of Dymetman), the scanner and base station (scanner, fig. 1, as per teachings of Tabata) provided as parts of a single apparatus.

Art Unit: 2624

. . . .

Regarding claim 30, Mori further discloses a system according to claim 18 including authorization means to prevent use by an unauthorized user (password protection, col. 13, lines 20-23).

Regarding claims 1, 6-7, 15-17: Claims 1, 6-7, 15-17 are the methods corresponding the apparatus and recite limitations that are similar and in the same scope of invention as to those in claims 18, 22-30; therefore, claims 1, 6-7, 15-17 are rejected for the same rejection rationale/basis as described in claims 18, 22-30 above.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 5, filed 11/23/05, with respect to claims 1 & 18 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 112, 2nd paragraph rejection of claims 1 & 18 has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments, see pages 5-6, filed 11/23/05, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 & 18 under 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art reference.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thierry L. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-7439. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:30 AM - 6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David K. Moore can be reached on (571)272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2624

4.5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thierry L. Pham

rimary Examiner

Habriel Harcia